SUPREME COURT MINUTES MONDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2000 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S009038 People, Respondent

V.

Richard Turner, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including April 14, 2000.

S012852 People, Respondent

V.

Robert Edward Maury, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to and including March 20, 2000.

S033440 People, Respondent

v.

Vicente Figueroa Benavides, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including April 25, 2000.

S034725 In re Andre Burton

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's traverse to the return to the order to show cause is extended to and including April 17, 2000.

S049626 People, Respondent

v.

Stephen Hajek and Loi Tan Vo, Appellant

On application of appellant Loi Tan Vo and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant Loi Tan Vo is granted to and including April 17, 2000, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court

has granted an extension of time has been completed. No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S074000 In re Keith Edward Adcox

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including March 15, 2000.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

Misc. Order 2000-2 Adoption of Rule 951.5, California Rules of Court

The Court hereby adopts new Rule 951.5 to the California Rules of Court as set forth below:

Standard of Review for State Bar Court Review Department

"Upon review pursuant to rule 301 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California, or such other rule as may be adopted governing the review of any decisions, orders or rulings by a hearing judge that fully disposes of an entire proceeding, the Review Department of the State Bar Court shall independently review the record and may adopt findings, conclusions, and a decision or recommendation at variance with those of the hearing judge."